## PENNSYLVANIA HIGH SCHOOL SPEECH LEAGUE Policy Debate Judge Philosophy

Please complete form and mail to State Office:
 Jodi Fetterolf, State Secretary
 PA High School Speech League
 Bloomsburg University
 400 E 2<sup>nd</sup> Street
 Bloomsburg, PA 17815

Name	School	PHSSL District #
Th	nis form will be used to aid policy debaters in adapting to you as a following questions concerning your judging philo	
1.	Judging Experience (please indicate all that apply)  ——Head coach of a team  ——Assistant coach of a team  ——College policy debater  ——High School policy debater  ——High School Lincoln-Douglas debater  ——High School Speech participant  ——Frequently judge policy debate  ——Occasionally judge policy debate	
2.	Years of judging policy debate	
3.	Varsity rounds judged this year (please circle one) 0-10 11-20 21-30 31-40 40+	
4.	Which of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the theory you use to evaluate a policy of the following best describes the following best describ	debate round?
	cle the number that best represents your views towards the following: Rate of Delivery: Slow 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Rapid	
6.	Communication: Communication 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Amount of arguments skills present	red
7.	Topicality- I am willing to vote on it: Often 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 rarely	
8.	Counterplans: Acceptable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Unacceptable Topical Counterplans: Acceptable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Unacceptable Plan-Inclusive Counterplans: Acceptable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Unacceptable Conditionality of Counterplans: Acceptable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Unacceptable	
9.	Debate theory arguments: Acceptable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Unacceptable	
10.	Critique (Kritik) arguments: Acceptable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Unacceptable Conditionality of critiques (Kritiks):	

Acceptable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Unacceptable